

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,499	03/08/2004	Ben Esposito	174/298	3012
36981 ROPES & GR	7590 09/17/2007 AVIID	EXAMINER		
PATENT DO	CKETING 39/361	ALROBAYE, IDRISS N		
	E OF THE AMERICAS NY 10036-8704		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2183	
		•	т	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			09/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Δ	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	•				
Office Action Summary			10/796,499	ESPOSITO, BEN	ESPOSITO, BEN				
		E	xaminer	Art Unit					
			driss N. Alrobaye	2183					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 22 Augi	ust 2007.						
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the a	pplication.			·				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.								
· 7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 April 2007</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Geo the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachma-	t(c)								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:									

Application/Control Number: 10/796,499 Page 2

Art Unit: 2183

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications through the applicant's application filed on 08/22/2007.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/22/2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peng U.S. Patent No. 5,594,675 (hereinafter Peng) in view of Ema et al. U.S. Patent No. 2002/0130944 (hereinafter Ema).

Art Unit: 2183

5. As per claim 1, Peng teaches digital signal processing (DSP) circuitry that independently processes a plurality of multi-channel data signals, comprising:

a plurality of columns of registers (see e.g. Fig. 11, elements 734-0, 734-1, 734-u-1 etc), each said column comprising an input (see e.g. Fig. 11, wherein each column has an input) and a plurality of registers arranged in serial (see e.g. Fig. 11, element 734-1, wherein column 734-1 has plurality of registers and are arranged in series); and

interconnection circuitry for allowing successive channels of said plurality of multi-channel data signals to be selectively shifted through said plurality of registers in each said column (see e.g. Fig. 11, element 734-1, and col. 17, lines 5-23) and to also be selectively shifted through a plurality of registers in at least one other of said plurality of columns (see e.g. Fig, 11, element 734-0 "one column", element 734-1 "another column", wherein one input of MUX1 (element 732-1) comes from column 734-0), wherein said interconnection circuitry allows a value at the input of each column to be selectively routed to any said register in said respective column (see e.g. Fig. 11, wherein the a value at the input of each column is selectively routed to any said register. For instance, column 734-1 can route values from the input to any of the registers, REG L, REG L-1, REG 1. If column 734-1 wants to route values from the column input to REG L-1, the values will pass through REG L until it reaches REG L-1. Similarly with REG 1, if the values from column 734-1 to be routed to REG 1, the values will have to go through REG L and REG L-1, but eventually will reach REG 1).

Although Peng did not explicitly show bypassing any register or registers that precede said register in said respective column. However, this implementation is well

Art Unit: 2183

known in the art, it's a very similar structure to a shift register. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize this implementation as an obvious matter of design choice.

Nevertheless, since the reference Peng did not show "bypassing any register or register...", the examiner introduces a secondary reference (Ema) that explicitly show the last limitation. Ema teaches bypassing any register or registers that precede said register in said respective column (see Ema, Fig. 14, wherein the load values 'i.e., Load1, Load2, etc' bypasses any register or registers that precede said register in said respective column), for the purpose of easily passing and manipulating data to any register in the column.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Ema in the invention of Peng, for the purpose of easily manipulating data within registers and allowing fast and parallel shifting of data within registers because the connection 'Load' is directly connected to each register. Furthermore, simultaneous updating registers thus significantly improving performances.

As per claim 2, Peng further teaches the circuitry of claim 1, further comprising utilization circuitry for operating on a first piece of data output by a register in a first of said columns and a second piece of data output by a register in a second of said columns (see e.g. Fig. 11), wherein the first and second pieces of data each corresponds to a first channel of the plurality of multi-channel data signals (see e.g. Fig. 11, and Fig. 5).

- 7. As per claim 3, Peng further teaches the circuitry of claim 2, wherein said utilization circuitry comprises circuitry selected from the group consisting of adder circuitries, multiplier circuitries, and a combination thereof (see e.g. Fig. 11, elements 740-0, 740-1, multiplier).
- 8. As per claim 4, Peng further teaches the circuitry of claim 1, wherein the interconnection circuitry comprises:

a multiplexer circuit associated with each register in each said column (see Peng, e.g. Fig. 5, wherein a multiplexer is associated with each register in each column; see column that contains element 413-1, 412-0-1, 412-0-L; register 412-0-1 is associated with MUX 413-1 and 412-0-L is associated with MUX in i=2).

- 9. As per claim 5, Peng further teaches the circuitry of claim 1, wherein said interconnection circuitry allows successive data signals to be shifted to as many different said plurality of columns as needed such that the output of each of those columns is used as an input to a finite impulse response filter function (see e.g. Fig. 11; abstract; col. 1, lines 1-67; col. 6, lines 65-67; col. 16, lines 5-20).
- 10. As per claim 6, Peng further teaches the circuitry of claim 5, wherein a respective register in each of those columns provides a respective piece of the output data used as the input to the filter function (see e.g. Fig. 11, abstract; col. 1, lines 1-67; col. 6, lines

Art Unit: 2183

65-67; col. 16, lines 5-20), wherein each respective piece of the output data corresponds to a first channel of the plurality of multi-channel data signals (see e.g. Fig. 5).

- 11. As per claim 7, Peng further teaches the circuitry of claim 1, wherein said interconnection circuitry can selectively route data signals past one or more of said registers in each said column (see e.g. Fig. 11, wherein the output of column 734-1, REG 1 is going to the input of MUX1 (element 732-1)).
- 12. As per claim 8, Peng further the circuitry of claim 1 wherein said circuitry is mounted on a programmable logic device (The digital signals processing as taught by Peng is considered equivalent to a programmable logic device).
- 13. As per claim 9, Peng further teaches the programmable logic device defined in claim 8 further comprising:

routing circuitry for selectively supplying signals to and receiving signals from the DSP circuitry (see e.g. Figs. 10-11).

14. As per claim 10, Peng further teaches the programmable logic device defined in claim 9 further comprising:

programmable logic circuitry connected to the routing circuitry (see e.g. Fig. 4, wherein element 310 is connected to element 340 (which contain the routing circuitry)).

Page 7

Art Unit: 2183

15. As per claim 11, Peng further teaches a digital processing system comprising: processing circuitry (see e.g. Fig. 4);

a memory coupled to the processing circuitry (see e.g. Fig. 4, elements 310 and 320); and

a programmable logic device as defined in claim 8 coupled to the processing circuitry and the memory (see e.g. Fig. 4, element 340).

- 16. As per claim 12, Peng further teaches the circuitry of claim 8 wherein said circuitry is mounted on a printed circuit board (it's understood from Peng reference that the DSP is mounted on a printed circuit board).
- 17. As per claim 13, Peng further teaches the printed circuit board defined in claim12 further comprising:

a memory (see e.g. Fig. 4, element 320 and 310) mounted on the printed circuit board and coupled to the programmable logic device (see e.g. Fig. 4, element 340; It's understood from Peng reference that the circuit is mounted on a printed circuit board).

18. As per claim 14, Peng further teaches the printed circuit board defined in claim12 further comprising:

processing circuitry mounted on the printed circuit board and coupled to the programmable logic device (see e.g. Fig. 4, wherein elements 330 and 350 are processing circuitry and are connected to the control logic 340).

- 19. As per claim 15, it's rejected for the same reasons set forth above in claim 1, wherein the columns in claim 1 are considered equivalent to the tap delay line circuitry in claim 15.
- 20. As per claims 16-21, claims 1-14 have all the features that claims 16-21 have, thus claims 16-21 are rejected for the same reasons set forth above in claims 1-14. The only different is naming, wherein the tap delay line circuitry in claims 16-21 is considered equivalent to the columns of registers in claims 1-14.
- 21. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Washakowski et al. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0238117 (hereinafter Washakowski) in view of Ema.
- 22. As per claim 15, Washakowski teaches a programmable logic device (PLD), comprising:

digital signal processing (DSP) circuitry that supports multiple channels of data being transmitted on the same carrier, said DSP circuitry (see e.g. Fig. 1, wherein I and Q are the channels) comprising:

Art Unit: 2183

tap delay line circuitry (see e.g. Fig. 2A-2D, wherein element 200I and 200Q are the tap delay line circuitry; see paragraphs [0023]-[0024]) that comprises registers (see e.g. Fig. 4C, channels I and Q) for registering the data of each of the multiple channels such that the data of each channel is not mixed with the data of any other channel (see e.g. Fig. 2A-2D; and Fig. 4C, where it two shows the two separate channels I and Q, elements 440I and 440Q), wherein the tap delay line circuitry comprises:

an input (see Fig. 2A-2D, wherein each tap delay line circuitry has an input); and interconnection circuitry that allows a value received at the input to e selectively routed to any of said registers in said tap delay line circuitry (see e.g. Fig 4C, wherein the value received at the input of the channel can be routed to any registers. The value may passes through other registers in order to route the value into the selected register)

utilization circuitry that performs a function on data received from said tap delay line circuitry (see e.g. Fig. 2A-2D, wherein the adder, element 206I and 206Q are the utilization circuitry).

Although Peng did not explicitly show bypassing any register or registers that precede said register in said tap delay line circuitry. However, this implementation is well known in the art, it's a very similar structure to a shift register. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize this implementation as an obvious matter of design choice.

Nevertheless, since the reference Peng did not show "bypassing any register or register...", the examiner introduces a secondary reference (Ema) that explicitly show the last limitation. Ema teaches bypassing any register or registers that precede said register in said line circuitry (see Ema, Fig. 14, wherein the load values 'i.e., Load1,

Load2, etc' bypasses any register or registers that precede said register in said line circuitry), for the purpose of easily passing and manipulating data to any register in the column.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Ema in the invention of Peng, for the purpose of easily manipulating data within registers and allowing fast and parallel shifting of data within registers because the connection 'Load' is directly connected to each register. Furthermore, simultaneous updating registers thus significantly improving performances.

- 23. Claims 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Washakowski in view Ema and further in view of Peng.
- 24. As per claim 16, Washakowski further teaches the PLD of claim 15, wherein said tap delay line circuitry comprises:

at least two columns of registers, each column including at least two registers arranged in serial (see Washakowski, Fig. 4C);

Washakowski did not specifically teach the structure as described below (a multiplexer circuit respectively associated with a register of each said column, said multiplexer....).

Peng teaches the structure as described here: a multiplexer circuit respectively associated with a register of each said column (see Peng, Fig. 11, multiplexers (732-0

to 732-u); columns (elements 735-0 to 735-u); registers in each column (734-0 to 735-u), said multiplexer circuit operative to select one of at least two input signals being applied to said multiplexer circuit for application to said associated register (Fig. 11, column 735-0), one of said input signals being one of said plurality of multi-channel signals, and another one of said input signals being the output signal of a register that is conveyed by a tap delay line from a register in a column different than the column said multiplexer circuit applies said input signal to (Fig. 11, columns, elements 735-0 and 735-1). Peng teaches the structure as described above for the purpose of reducing processing requirements (Peng, col. 19, lines 61-67).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Peng in the invention of Washakowski, for the purpose of improving FIR filters by merging cross-symmetric bank filter terms. The merging of the filter banks enables a dramatic reduction in processing time and circuit size requirements without impeding the performance of the filter (Peng. col. 6, lines 40-44;col. 19, lines 61-67).

25. As per claim 17, Washakowski in view of Peng teaches the invention as claimed above. Peng further teaches the DSP circuitry of claim 16, wherein said associated register is a leading register in each said column (see Peng, Fig. 11, columns 735-0 to 735-u and REG L in each column). The motivation utilized in the combination of claim 16, super, applies equally as well to claim 17.

26. As per claim 18, Washakowski in view of Peng teaches the invention as claimed above. Peng further teaches the DSP circuitry of claim 16, wherein the output signal of the register being conveyed by the tap delay line is the output of a trailing register in each said column (see Peng, Fig. 11, columns 735-0 to 735-u and REG 1 in each column). The motivation utilized in the combination of claim 16, super, applies equally as well to claim 18.

- 27. As per claim 19, Washakowski in view of Peng teaches the invention as claimed above. Peng further teaches the DSP circuitry of claim 16, wherein the tap delay line conveys an output signal of a register that is being provided to a first tap to a multiplexer circuit associated with a column that provides an output signal to a second tap (see Peng, Fig. 11, columns 735-0 to 735-1). The motivation utilized in the combination of claim 16, super, applies equally as well to claim 19.
- 28. As per claim 20, Washakowski in view of Peng teaches the invention as claimed above. Peng further teaches the DSP circuitry of claim 15, wherein said utilization circuitry selectively operates on signals output by a register in each said column. (See Peng, e.g. Fig. 11, multipliers 740-0 to 740-u). The motivation utilized in the combination of claim 16, super, applies equally as well to claim 20.
- 29. As per claim 21, Washakowski in view of Peng teaches the invention as claimed above. Peng further teaches the DSP circuitry of claim 16, further comprising bypass

circuitry for enabling the selected input signal to be routed directly to one of said at least two registers in said column of registers (see Peng, e.g. Fig. 11, column 735-0, wherein the output of REG 1 is feedback to the MUX1 and to REG L). The motivation utilized in the combination of claim 16, super, applies equally as well to claim 21.

Response to Arguments

30. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 31. The following is text cited from 37 CFR 1.111U.S. Patent No.: In amending reply to a rejection of claims in an application or patent under reexamination, the applicant or patent owner must clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. The applicant or patent owner must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.
- 32. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - U.S. Patent No. 5,144,525 shows analog acquisition system including a high-speed timing generator.
 - U.S. Patent No. 6,421,251 shows an array board interconnect system

Art Unit: 2183

 U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0130944 shows a lightemission modulation having effective scheme of creating Gray scale on image

- U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0089348 shows programmable logic integrated circuit devices including dedicated processor components.
- See also attached PTO-892 form.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Idriss N. Alrobaye whose telephone number is 571-270-1023. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 8:00 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Chan can be reached on 571-272-4162. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Idriss Alrobaye

EDDIE CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(il cl